TOMORROW starts here.

11 11 11 CISCO



Deploying MPLS Traffic Engineering

BRKMPL-2100

Santiago Álvarez saalvare@cisco.com



Agenda

- Technology Overview
- TE and QoS
- Traffic Protection
- Bandwidth optimization
- Centralized Tunnel Creation and Control
- General Deployment Considerations



Ciscolive!



Technology Overview

MPLS TE Overview

- Introduces explicit routing
- Supports constraint-based routing
- Supports admission control
- Provides protection capabilities
- Uses RSVP-TE to establish LSPs
- Uses ISIS / OSPF extensions to advertise link attributes





Cisco Public

How MPLS TE Works



- Link information Distribution*
 - ISIS-TE
 - OSPF-TE
- Path Calculation (CSPF)*
- Path Setup (RSVP-TE)
- Forwarding Traffic down Tunnel
 - Auto-route (announce / destinations)
 - Static route
 - PBR
 - PBTS / CBTS
 - Forwarding Adjacency
 - Pseudowire Tunnel select





© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Link Information Distribution

- Additional link characteristics
 - Interface address
 - Neighbor address
 - Physical bandwidth
 - Maximum reservable bandwidth
 - Unreserved bandwidth (at eight priorities)
 - TE metric
 - Administrative group (attribute flags)
- IS-IS or OSPF flood link information
- All TE nodes build a TE topology database
- Not required if using off-line path computation



© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Path Calculation



- TE nodes can perform constraintbased routing
- Tunnel head end generally responsible for path calculation
- Constraints and topology database used as input to path computation
- Shortest-path-first algorithm ignores links not meeting constraints
- Tunnel can be signaled once a path is found
- Not required if using offline path computation



TE LSP Signaling

- Tunnel signaled with TE extensions to RSVP
- Soft state maintained with downstream PATH messages
- Soft state maintained with upstream RESV messages
- New RSVP objects
 - LABEL_REQUEST (PATH)
 - LABEL (RESV)
 - EXPLICIT_ROUTE
 - RECORD_ROUTE (PATH/RESV)
 - SESSION_ATTRIBUTE (PATH)
- LFIB populated using RSVP labels allocated by RESV messages



Traffic Selection



- Traffic enters tunnel at head end
- Multiple traffic selection options
 - Auto-route (announce / destination)
 - Static routes
 - Policy Based Routing
 - Forward Adjacency
 - Pseudowire Tunnel Selection
 - Policy / Class Based Tunnel Selection
- Tunnel path computation independent of routing decision injecting traffic into tunnel





Point-to-Multipoint (P2MP)TE LSP

- Unidirectional
- Explicitly routed
- One head end, but one or more tail ends (destinations)
- Same characteristics (constraints, protection, etc.) for all destinations





P2MP TE LSP Terminology



- Head-end/Source: Node where LSP signaling is initiated
- Mid-point: Transit node where LSP signaling is processed (not a headend, not a tail-end)
- Tail-end/Leaf/destination: node where LSP signaling ends
- Branch point: Node where packet replication is performed
- Source-to-leaf (S2L) sub-LSP: P2MP TE LSP segment that runs from source to one leaf



P2MP TE LSP Path Computation

- Constrained Shortest Path First (CSPF) used to compute an adequate tree
- CSPF executed per destination
- TE topology database and tunnel constraints used as input for path computation
- Path constraints may include loose, included, excluded hops
- Same constraints for all destinations (bandwidth, affinities, priorities, etc.)
- Path computation yields explicit path to each destination
- No changes to OSPF/IS-IS TE extensions
- Static paths possible with offline path computation



© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

P2MP TE LSP Signaling





- Source sends unique PATH message per destination
- LFIB populated using RSVP labels allocated by RESV messages
- Multicast state built by reusing sub-LSP labels at branch points



Configuring P2MP Tunnel at Head End (Cisco IOS)



Configuring P2MP Tunnel at Head End (Cisco IOS XR)





MPLS TE Use Cases



© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

MPLS TE Integration with Network Services

A TE LSP provides transport for different network services



BRKMPL-2100 - saalvare@cisco.com

© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Cisco Public

Ciscolive!



TE and QoS

Motivations



- Point-to-point SLAs
- Admission control
- Integration with DiffServ architecture
- Increased routing control to improve network performance



MPLS TE and DiffServ Deployment Models

MPLS TE and no DiffServ



- A solution when: No differentiation required Optimization required
- Limit link load to actual link capacity
- No notion of traffic classes

MPLS TE and DiffServ



- A solution when: Differentiation required Optimization required
- Limit class capacity to expected class load
- Limit class load to actual class capacity for one class

DiffServ-Aware TE and DiffServ



- A solution when: Strong differentiation required Fine optimization required
- Limit class capacity to expected class load
- Limit class load to actual class capacity for at least two classes

DiffServ-Aware Traffic Engineering



- Enables per-class traffic engineering
- IS-IS or OSPF flood link information (as usual)
- Per-class unreserved bandwidth on each link
- New RSVP object (CLASSTYPE)
- Nodes manages link bandwidth using a bandwidth constraint model
- Two models defined Maximum Allocation Model (MAM) Russian Doll Model (RDM)
- Unique class definition and constraint model throughout network
- Two classes (class-types) in current implementations



Maximum Allocation Model (MAM)

- BW pool applies to one class
- Sum of BW pools may exceed MRB
- Sum of total reserved BW may not exceed MRB
- Current implementation supports BC0 and BC1





Russian Dolls Model (RDM)

- BW pool applies to one or more classes
- Global BW pool (BC0) equals MRB
- BC0..BCn used for computing unreserved BW for class n
- Current implementation supports BC0 and BC1







© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Ciscolive!



Traffic Protection

Traffic Protection Using MPLS TE Fast Re-Route (FRR)



Primary TE LSP

Backup TE LSP

- Sub-second recovery against node/link failures
- Scalable 1:N protection
- Greater protection granularity
- Cost-effective alternative to 1:1 protection
- Bandwidth protection
- Topology independent



BRKMPL-2100 - saalvare@cisco.com

© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

FRR Link Protection Operation

- Requires pre-signalled next-hop (NHOP) backup tunnel
- Point of Local Repair (PLR) swaps label and pushes backup label
- Backup terminates on Merge Point (MP) where traffic rejoins primary
- Restoration time expected under ~50 ms



FRR Node Protection Operation



- Requires pre-signalled next-next-hop (NNHOP) backup tunnel
- Point of Local Repair (PLR) swaps next-hop label and pushes backup label
- Backup terminates on Merge Point (MP) where traffic re-joins primary
- Restoration time depends on failure detection time



Bidirectional Forwarding Detection Trigger for FRR

© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

- FRR relies on guick PLR failure detection
- Some failures may not produce loss of signal or alarms on a link
- BFD provides light-weight neighbor connectivity failure detection
- Preferred over RSVP Hellos



Bandwidth Protection



Primary TE LSP
Backup TE LSP

- Backup tunnel with associated bandwidth capacity
- Backup tunnel may or may not actually signal bandwidth
- PLR will decide best backup to protect primary nhop/nnhop backup-bw class-type node-protection flag



AutoTunnel: Primary Tunnels What's the Problem?

- FRR can protect TE Traffic
- No protection mechanism for IP or LDP traffic
- How to leverage FRR for all traffic?
- What if protection desired without traffic engineering?



Cisco Public

AutoTunnel: Primary Tunnels What's the Solution?

Forward all traffic through a one-hop protected primary TE tunnel





 Create protected one-hop tunnels on all TE links

Priority	7/7
Bandwidth	0
Affinity	0x0/0xFFFF
Auto-BW	OFF
Auto-Route	ON
Fast-Reroute	ON
Forwarding-Adj	OFF
Load-Sharing	OFF

- Tunnel interfaces not shown on router configuration
- Configure desired backup tunnels (manually or automatically)



Configuring AutoTunnel Primary Tunnels (Cisco IOS)





AutoTunnel: Backup Tunnels What's the Problem?

- MPLS FRR requires backup tunnels to be preconfigured
- Automation of backup tunnels is desirable



AutoTunnel: Backup Tunnels What's the Solution?

Create backup tunnels automatically as needed



- Detect if a primary tunnel requires protection and is not protected
- Verify that a backup tunnel doesn't already exist
- Compute a backup path to NHOP and NNHOP excluding the protected facility
- Optionally, consider shared risk link groups during backup path computation
- Signal backup tunnels



BRKMPL-2100 - saalvare@cisco.com

Configuring AutoTunnel Backup Tunnels (Cisco IOS)




Configuring AutoTunnel Backup Tunnels (Cisco IOS XR)



Shared Risk Link Group (SRLG)



- Some links may share same physical resource (e.g. fiber, conduit)
- AutoTunnel Backup can force or prefer exclusion of SRLG to guarantee diversely routed backup tunnels
- IS-IS and OSPF flood SRLG membership as an additional link attribute



What About Path Protection?

- Primary and standby share head and tail, but expected to be diversely routed
- Generally higher restoration times compared to local protection
- Doubles number of TE LSPs (1:1 protection)
- May be an acceptable solution for restricted topologies (e.g. rings)
- Cisco IOS

Separate path option sequences for primary and standby Explicit paths only No path diversity

Cisco IOS XR

Single or separate path-option sequence for primary and standby Explicit and dynamic paths

Automatic path diversity (node-link, node, link) when using single path-option sequence

BFD may be used for end-to-end fault detection



P2MP TE LSP Traffic Protection

- No new protocol extensions to support FRR
- Protection requirement applies to all destinations
- P2P LSP as backup tunnel for a sub-LSP
- No changes to label stacking procedure
- Only link protection supported
- Head-end protection requires path redundancy (live-standby / live-live)



Head End Resiliency Models for P2MP TE



- Redundant TE LSPs with different ingress PEs
- LSPs may or may not be disjoint
- Link failures generally protected via FRR
- Several bandwidth options for Standby TE LSP Same bandwidth reservation as Live path No bandwidth reservation Adaptive bandwidth reservation (auto-bandwidth)
- Redundant P2MP LSPs with different ingress and egress PEs
- LSPs are generally disjoint
- Receiver or near-receiver stream selection and switchover
- FRR generally not a requirement
- Same bandwidth reservation on both TE LSPs



Live TE LSP PE1 PE2 PE3 PE4

BRKMPL-2100 - saalvare@cisco.com

Cisco (ive;



Bandwidth optimization



- Tries to optimize underlying physical topology based on traffic matrix
- Key goal is to avoid link over/under utilization
- On-line (CSPF) or off-line path computation
- May result in a significant number of tunnels
- Should not increase your routing adjacencies



Traffic Matrix Measurement

- Interface counters on unconstrained tunnels
- Interface MIB
- MPLS LSR MIB
- NetFlow
 - NetFlow BGP Next Hop
 - MPLS-Aware NetFlow
 - Egress/Output NetFlow
- BGP policy accounting
 - Communities
 - AS path
 - IP prefix



Cisco Public

AutoTunnel Mesh

- Mesh group: LSRs to mesh automatically
- Membership identified by
 - Matching TE Router ID against ACL (Cisco IOS and IOS XR)
 - IGP mesh-group advertisement (Cisco IOS)
- Each member automatically creates tunnel upon detection of a member
- Tunnels instantiated from template
- Individual tunnels not displayed in router configuration



Cisco Public

Auto Bandwidth



- Dynamically adjust bandwidth reservation based on measured traffic
- Optional minimum and maximum limits
- Sampling and resizing timers
- Tunnel resized to largest sample since last adjustment
- Actual resizing can be subject to adjustment threshold and overflow/underflow detection



Configuring AutoTunnel Mesh (Cisco IOS)



Configuring AutoTunnel Mesh (Cisco IOS XR)

ipv4 unnumbered mpls traffic-eng Loopback 0

mpls traffic-eng

auto-tunnel mesh

group 10

attribute-set 10

destination-list DST-RID-ACL

tunnel-id min 1000 max 2000

attribute-set auto-mesh 10 autoroute announce

path-selection metric te

Source interface for backup tunnels

Mesh group 10 identified by ACL DST-RID-ACL

Range for mesh tunnel interfaces

Attribute set for tunnels in mesh group 10



Tactical / Reactive Bandwidth Optimization



- Selective deployment of tunnels when highly-utilized links are identified
- Generally, deployed until next upgrade cycle alleviates congested links



Ciscolive!



Centralized Tunnel Creation and Control

Cisco PCE Models (Cisco IOS XR)

Inter-Area MPLS TE



- ABRs act as stateless PCEs
- ABRs implement backward recursive PCE-Based Computation
- Introduced in IOS XR 3.5.2
- IOS XR 5.1.1 introduces PCEP RFC-compliance



- · Out-of-network, stateless PCE server
- PCC initiates LSPs
- Introduced in IOS XR 3.5.2
- IOS XR 5.1.1 introduces PCEP RFCcompliance

SDNWAN Orchestration



BRKMPL-2100 - saalvare@cisco.com

Active Stateful PCE

- Introduces PCEP extensions for
 - LSP state synchronization between PCCs and PCEs
 - PCC delegation of LSP control to PCE
- Active stateful PCE
 - PCC maintains state synchronization with PCE
 - PCC may delegate LSP control to PCE
- PCC always owns LSP state
- Cisco WAN orchestration solution relies on an active stateful PCE that initiates LSP setup





PCE-Initiated Tunnels in Cisco IOS XR

- Treated as dynamically created tunnels (autotunnel)
- Tunnel number allocated from user defined range
- Router does NOT verify or compute path that PCE provides (treated as verbatim path)
- Router does not attempt local LSP re-optimization
- PCE responsible for LSP re-optimization
- PCE sends an PCEP Update when a better path exists
- Tunnels may be inter-area
- Only PCE-initiated LSPs can be delegated





PCE-Initiated LSP



BRKMPL-2100 - saalvare@cisco.com

© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Cisco Public

Configuration for PCE-Initiated Tunnels (Cisco IOS XR)



PCE-Initiated LSP (Multiple PCEs)

- PCC synchronizes LSP state over all open stateful PCEP sessions
- When a PCE creates / initiates an LSP
 - PCC will report LSP state to all stateful PCEs
 - PCC will only delegate LSP to originating PCE
- LSP may be re-delegated if originating PCE disconnects or renounces delegation
- LSPs may be re-delegated to a stateful PCE sending a matching LSP creation / initiation before LSP cleanup timeout



BRKMPL-2100 - saalvare@cisco.com

Traffic Steering into PCE-Initiated Tunnels

- Two approaches
 - Autoroute announce
 - Policy-based tunnel selection (forwarding class id)
- PCE can specify autoroute announce and forwarding class id during LSP creation / instantiation or update
- Attributes encoded as vendor specific TLVs (same approach used to specify load-share)



Autoroute Announce



- Prefixes installed in RIB with tunnel as output interface if tunnel destination along shortest path
- Operates on prefixes at tunnel destination and downstream
- Prefixes installed with IGP shortest path metric
- Supported for IS-IS and OSPF



Policy Based Tunnel Selection

- Local mechanism at head-end
- PBR policy sets forwarding class for incoming traffic
- Traffic switched to tunnel with matching forwarding class
- Seven forwarding classes supported (1-7)
- One forwarding class reserved as default (0)





Cisco (ive;



General Deployment Considerations

Should RSVP-TE and LDP be Used Simultaneously?

- Guarantees forwarding of VPN traffic if a TE LSP fails
- May be required if full mesh of TE LSPs not in use
- Increased complexity



How Far should Tunnels Span?





PE-to-PE Tunnels

More granular control on traffic forwarding Larger number of TE LSPs

P-to-P Tunnels

Requires IP tunnels or LDP over TE tunnels to carry VPN traffic (deeper label stack)

Fewer TE LSPs

May be extended with PE-P tunnels

Cisco Public



PE 😽

PE

PE

PE

PF

PE

MPLS TE on Link Bundles



- Different platforms support different link bundles
 - Ethernet
 - POS
 - Multilink PPP
- Bundles appear as single link in topology database
- Same rules for link state flooding
- LSP preemption if bundle bandwidth becomes insufficient
- Configurable minimum number of links to maintain bundle active
- Bundle failure can act as trigger for FRR



Per-Service Tunnel Selection



- Services (L2VPN / L3VPN) generally receive a path automatically
 - Recursive resolution of BGP next hops
 - Recursive resolution of LDP peers
- L2VPN provides granular per-tunnel
 control using pseudowire tunnel selection
 - When using BGP (L2VPN, L3VPN, IP):
 - On tail end, add loopback at destination for each service that needs separate forwarding
 - On tail end, add policy to modify next-hop on BGP updates
 - On head end, add static route to force BGP next hops down specific paths



Ciscolive!



Summary

Summary

- Technology Overview
 - Explicit and constrained-based routing
 - TE protocol extensions (OSPF, ISIS and RSVP)
 - P2P and P2MP TE LSP
- TE and QoS
 - DS-TE (MAM, RDM)
 - PBTS / CBTS
- Traffic Protection
 - Link/node protection (auto-tunnel)
 - Bandwidth protection
 - Path protection

- Bandwidth optimization
 - Strategic / planned (full mesh, autotunnel)
 - Tactical / reactive
- Centralized Tunnel Creation and Control
 - Centralized SDN model
 - PCE-initiated tunnels
- General Deployment Considerations
 - MPLS TE and LDP
 - PE-to-PE vs. P-to-P tunnels
 - TE over Bundles
 - Per-Service Tunnel Selection

Cisco Public

Recommended Reading





Call to Action...

Visit the World of Solutions:-

- Cisco Campus
- Walk-in Labs
- Technical Solutions Clinics
- Meet the Engineer

Lunch Time Table Topics, held in the main Catering Hall

Recommended Reading: For reading material and further resources for this (iv/c/

Complete Your Online Session Evaluation

- Give us your feedback and you could win fabulous prizes. Winners announced daily.
- Receive 20 Cisco Daily Challenge points for each session evaluation you complete.
- Complete your session evaluation online now through either the mobile app or internet kiosk stations.



Maximize your Cisco Live experience with your free Cisco Live 365 account. Download session PDFs, view sessions on-demand and participate in live activities throughout the year. Click the Enter Cisco Live 365 button in your Cisco Live portal to log in.



Ciscolive!



Backup

Configuring MPLS TE and Link Information Distribution Using IS-IS (Cisco IOS)





Configuring MPLS TE and Link Information Distribution Using OSPF (Cisco IOS XR)

router ospf DEFAULT area 0	
<pre>mpls traffic-eng interface Loopback0 passive</pre>	Enable MPLS TE extensions on this area
! interface TenGigE0/0/0/0	
! mpls traffic-eng router-id Loopback0	MPLS TE router id
rsvp interface TenGigE0/0/0/0 bandwidth 100000 !	Configuration mode for RSVP global and interface (e.g. maximum reservable bandwidth) commands
<pre>! mpls traffic-eng interface TenGigE0/0/0/0 admin-weight 5 attribute-flags 0x8 !</pre>	Configuration mode for MPLS TE global and interface (e.g. TE metric, attribute flags) commands


Configuring MPLS TE and Link Information Distribution Using IS-IS (Cisco NX-OS)



Configuring Tunnel at Head End (Cisco IOS)



Configuring Tunnel at Head End (Cisco IOS XR)





Configuring Tunnel at Head End (Cisco NX-OS)



P2MP TE LSP Traffic Selection IP Multicast



- One or more IP multicast groups mapped to a Tunnel
- Groups mapped via static IGMP join
- PIM outside of MPLS network
- Modified egress RPF check against TE LSP and tunnel head end (source address)
- Egress node may abstract TE LSP as a virtual interface (LSPVIF) for RPF purposes

Cisco Public



BRKMPL-2100 - saalvare@cisco.com

Statically Mapping Multicast Groups to a P2MP Tunnel (Cisco IOS)



Configuring RPF Check at P2MP Tunnel Tail End (Cisco IOS)

ip multicast mpls traffic-eng

ip mroute 192.168.5.1 255.255.255.255 172.16.255.5

Enable IPv4 multicast over P2MP TE LSP

Tunnel source (172.16.255.5) as next-hop for IP Multicast source (192.168.5.1) RPF check



Statically Mapping Multicast Groups to a P2MP Tunnel (Cisco IOS XR)

interface tunnel-mtel

ipv4 unnumbered Loopback0

destination 172.16.255.129

path-option 10 explicit name PATH1

path-option 20 dynamic

destination 172.16.255.130

path-option 10 dynamic

priority 0 0

signalled-bandwidth 100000

node-capability label-switched-multicast multicast-routing

address-family ipv4

interface tunnel-mtel enable

interface all enable

. router igmp

vrf default

interface tunnel-mte1
static-group 232.0.0.1 192.168.5.1

static-group 232.0.0.2 192.168.5.1

MPLS TE P2MP tunnel

Destination with path-option list

Destination with single path-option

Signaled bandwidth and setup / hold priorities

Enable MPLS multicast

Enable multicast forwarding over tunnel-mte1

Multicast groups mapped to tunnel-mte1



Configuring RPF Check at P2MP Tunnel Tail End (Cisco IOS XR)





Configuring MPLS TE and Link Information Distribution Using OSPF (Cisco IOS)





Configuring MPLS TE and Link Information Distribution Using IS-IS (Cisco IOS XR)



P2MP TE LSP Traffic Selection Static P2MP Pseudowires



- Provides a layer-2 multicast service with segmentation
- Multicast forwarding plane from root to leaves (all traffic types: multicast, broadcast, unicast)
- Unicast forwarding plane from leaves to root
- Initial implementation supporting only static pseudowire
- Label bindings defined statically on root and leaves
- No control plane (targeted LDP)
- No context-specific label space on leaves



Network with MPLS TE

Service Differentiation



- A solution when: No differentiation required Optimization required
- Full mesh or selective deployment to avoid oversubscription
- Increased network utilization
- Adjust link load to actual link capacity
- No notion of traffic classes



Network with MPLS DiffServ and MPLS TE

Service Differentiation



- A solution when: Differentiation required
 Optimization required
- Adjust class capacity to expected class load
- Adjust class load to actual class capacity for one class
- Alternatively, adjust link load to actual link capacity



© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Cisco Public

Network with MPLS DiffServ and MPLS DS-TE

Service Differentiation



- A solution when:
 - Strong differentiation required Fine optimization required
- Control both load and capacity per class
- Adjust class capacity to expected class load
- Adjust class load to actual class capacity



Pre-standard DS-TE Implementation

- Only supports Russian Dolls Model (RDM) for bandwidth constraints
- No changes to RSVP-TE specs to signal desired pool (leverages ADSPEC object in PATH messages)

Sub-pool TE LSPs signaled as guaranteed service

Global pool TE LSPs signaled as controlled-load service

- Modified OSPF-TE and ISIS-TE advertisements to include two pools at 8 priority levels each (16 entries per link total)
- Available on IOS and IOS XR



What Is New in IETF DS-TE Implementation?

- Supports both RDM and MAM (Maximum Allocation Model) for bandwidth constraints
- New CLASSTYPE object in RSVP-TE to signal desired class-type (unused by "class-type 0" for backward compatibility with non-DS-TE)
- Minor Changes to OSPF-TE and ISIS-TE bandwidth advertisements Same "unreserved bandwidth" sub-TLV (8 entries) as non-DS-TE interpreted
 - Same "unreserved bandwidth" sub-TLV (8 entries) as non-DS-TE interpreted according to local definition of TE-Class (class-type/preemption priority) New BC sub-TLV
- Operates in migration or IETF mode in Cisco IOS
- Developed simultaneously for IOS and IOS XR



TE-Class definition Examples TE-Class definition MUST be consistent throughout the network

Default TE-Class definition

	Priority 0	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	Priority 4	Priority 5	Priority 6	Priority 7
CT0 (Global)	TE-Class4							TE-Class0
CT1 (Sub)	TE-Class5							TE-Class1

TE-Class definition compatible with non-DS-TE

	Priority 0	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	Priority 4	Priority 5	Priority 6	Priority 7
CT0 (Global)	TE-Class0	TE-Class1	TE-Class2	TE-Class3	TE-Class4	TE-Class5	TE-Class5	TE-Class7
CT1 (Sub)								

User-defined TE-Classes with no preemption between class-types

	Priority 0	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	Priority 4	Priority 5	Priority 6	Priority 7
CT0 (Global)					TE-Class4	TE-Class5	TE-Class6	TE-Class7
CT1 (Sub)	TE-Class0	TE-Class1	TE-Class2	TE-Class3				

User-defined TE-Classes with preemption between/within class-types

	Priority 0	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	Priority 4	Priority 5	Priority 6	Priority 7
CT0 (Global)		TE-Class1		TE-Class3		TE-Class5		TE-Class7
CT1 (Sub)	TE-Class0		TE-Class2		TE-Class4		TE-Class6	



MAM vs. RDM

MAM	RDM	
One BC per CT	One or more CTs per BC	
Sum of all BCs may exceed maximum reservable bandwidth	BC0 always equals to maximum reservable bandwidth	
Preemption not required to provide bandwidth guarantees per CT	Preemption required to provide bandwidth guarantees per CT	
Bandwidth efficiency and protection against QoS degradation are mutually exclusive	Provides bandwidth efficiency and protection against QoS degradation simultaneously	
	Cisco (i	ve!



Configuring DS-TE Classes and Bandwidth Constraints (Cisco IOS)



Configuring DS-TE Tunnel (Cisco IOS)





Configuring DS-TE Classes and Bandwidth Constraints (Cisco IOS XR)

RDM



© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Cisco Public

Configuring DS-TE Tunnels (Cisco IOS XR)





Policy-based Tunnel Selection: PBTS



		_
_	-	

tunnel-te1
tunnel-te2
tunnel-te3
tunnel-te4
tunnel-te5
tunnel-te6
tunnel-te7

- EXP-based selection between multiple tunnels to same destination
- Local mechanism at head-end
- Tunnels configured via policyclass or forwarding-class with EXP values to carry
- No IGP extensions
- Supports VRF traffic, IP-to-MPLS and MPLS-to-MPLS switching



Class-Based Tunnel Selection: CBTS

- EXP-based selection between multiple tunnels to same destination
- Local mechanism at head-end (no IGP extensions)
- Tunnel master bundles tunnel members
- Tunnel selection configured on tunnel master (auto-route, etc.)
- Bundle members configured with EXP values to carry
- Bundle members may be configured as default
- Supports VRF traffic, IP-to-MPLS and MPLS-to-MPLS switching paths



Configuring CBTS (Cisco IOS)



Configuring PBTS (Cisco IOS XR)



Tunnel-based Admission Control



- Tunnel aggregates RSVP (IPv4) flows
- No per-flow state in forwarding plane (only DiffServ)
- No per-flow state in control plane within MPLS TE network
- RSVP enhancements enable end-to-end admission control solution (Receiver Proxy, Sender Notification, Fast Local Repair)



Configuring Tunnel-based Admission Control (Cisco IOS)



Configuring FRR (Cisco IOS)

Primary Tunnel



Configuring FRR (Cisco IOS XR)

Primary Tunnel



AutoTunnel: Primary Tunnels Why One-Hop Tunnels?

- CSPF and SPF yield same results (absence of tunnel constraints)
- Auto-route forwards all traffic through one-hop tunnel
- Traffic logically mapped to tunnel but no label imposed (imp-null)
- traffic is forwarded as if no tunnel was in place





AutoTunnel: Backup Tunnels What's the Solution? (Cont.)



Backup tunnels are preconfigured

Priority	7/7
Bandwidth	0
Affinity0x0/0xFFF	F
Auto-BW	OFF
Auto-Route	OFF
Fast-Reroute	OFF
Forwarding-Adj	OFF
Load-Sharing	OFF

 Backup tunnel interfaces and paths not shown on router configuration



Configuring SRLG (Cisco IOS)



Configuring Path Protection (Cisco IOS)





Configuring Enhanced Path Protection (Cisco IOS)




Configuring Path Protection (Cisco IOS XR)



Signal an acceptable (node-link, node, link diverse) standby TE LSP based on pathoption sequence



Inter-Domain TE – TE LSP Reoptimization



- Reoptimization can be timer/event/admin triggered
- Head end sets 'path re-evaluation request' flag (SESSION_ATTRIBUTE)
- Head end receives PathErr message notification from boundary router if a preferable path exists
- Make-before-break TE LSP setup can be initiated after PathErr notification



Configuring Inter-Area Tunnels (Cisco IOS)





Configuring Inter-Area Tunnels with Autoroute Destinations (Cisco IOS)





Configuring Inter-Area Tunnels (Cisco IOS XR)





Configuring Inter-AS Tunnels (Cisco IOS)



Inter-Domain TE – Authentication and Policy Control



- Authentication and policy control desirable for Inter-AS deployments
- ASBR may perform RSVP authentication (MD5/SHA-1)
- ASBR may enforce a local policy for Inter-AS TE LSPs (e.g. limit bandwidth, message types, protection, etc.)



Configuring Inter-AS TE at ASBR (Cisco IOS)



Distributed Path Computation with Backward Recursive PCE-based Computation (BRPC)

- Head-end sends request to a path computation element (PCE)
- PCE recursively computes virtual shortest path tree (SPT) to destination
- Head-end receives reply with virtual SPT if a path exists
- Head-end uses topology database and virtual SPT to compute end-toend path
- Head-end can discover PCEs dynamically or have them configured statically



Configuring MPLS TE and LDP Simultaneously (Cisco IOS)





Configuring MPLS TE and LDP Simultaneously (Cisco IOS XR)





Configuring LDP Over a TE Tunnel (Cisco IOS)





Configuring LDP Over a TE Tunnel (Cisco IOS XR)



© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

MPLS TE on Ethernet Bundle (Cisco IOS)



MPLS TE on Ethernet Bundle (Cisco IOS XR)



Per-VRF Tunnel Selection (Cisco IOS)



© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Ciscolive!



Inter-Domain Traffic Engineering

Inter-Domain Traffic Engineering: Introduction

- Domain defined as an IGP area or autonomous system
- Head end lacks complete network topology to perform path computation in both cases
- Two path computation approaches Per-domain (ERO loose-hop expansion) Distributed (Path Computation Element)



Per-Domain Path Computation Using ERO Loosehop Expansion



Inter-AS TE LSP



© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Distributed Path Computation using Path Computation Element



Configuring PCE (Cisco IOS XR)

Headend



PCE

mpls traffic-eng	Z	Declar	e peer down if no keepalive in 30s
pce deadtimer 30		Advertise PCE capability with address 172.16.255.129	
pce address ipv4 172.16.255.129 pce keepalive 10		Send per keepalive every 10s	

© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Inter-Domain TE – Fast Re-route



- Same configuration as single domain scenario
- Support for node-id sub-object required to implement ABR/ASBR node protection
- Node-id helps point of local repair (PLR) detect a merge point (MP)



Inter-Domain TE Take into Account before Implementing

- Semantics of link attributes across domain boundaries
- Semantics of TE-Classes across domain boundaries for DS-TE
- Auto-route destinations creates a static route to tunnel destination and facilitates traffic selection
- Auto-route announce not applicable for traffic selection



Scaling Signaling (Refresh Reduction)



- RSVP soft state needs to be refreshed periodically
- Refresh reduction extensions use message Identifier associated with Path/Resv state
- Summary Refresh (SRefresh) message refreshes state using a message_id list
- SRefresh only replaces refresh Path/Resv messages



Configuring Refresh Reduction (Cisco IOS)

mpls traffic-eng tunnels

```
interface TenGigabitEthernet0/1/0
ip address 172.16.0.0 255.255.255.254
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth 100000
```

```
router ospf 100
log-adjacency-changes
passive-interface Loopback0
network 172.16.0.0 0.0.255.255 area 0
mpls traffic-eng router-id Loopback0
mpls traffic-eng area 0
```

ip rsvp signalling refresh reduction

Enable refresh reduction



* Enabled by default in Cisco IOS XR

© 2014 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Call to Action...

Visit the World of Solutions:-

- Cisco Campus
- Walk-in Labs
- Technical Solutions Clinics
- Meet the Engineer

- Lunch Time Table Topics, held in the main Catering Hall
- Recommended Reading: For reading material and further resources for this session, please visit <u>www.pearson-books.com/CLMilan2014</u>



Complete Your Online Session Evaluation

- Complete your online session evaluation
- Complete four session evaluations and the overall conference evaluation to receive your Cisco Live T-shirt







#